

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2026

A. REVIEWER

Role	
-------------	--

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdisciplinary research	
Scientific discipline / research field	
Additional scientific discipline / research field	

C. INTRODUCTION

Award criteria

- 1: Scientific excellence of the researchers - BA1
- 2: Scientific excellence - BA2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation – BA3
- 4: Social and economic impact – BA4

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - use steps of 0,1: (0,0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal cannot be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

The individual threshold is 3.

The overall threshold is 12.

Interpretation of the scores:

0,0	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
1,0 - 1,9 (Inadequate)	The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
2,0 - 2,9 (Deficient)	The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
3,0 - 3,9 (Good)	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but several shortcomings are present.
4,0 - 4,6 (Very good)	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
4,7 - 5,0 (Excellent)	The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of the researchers - BA1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Outstanding achievements

Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking

Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct the research

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific excellence - BA2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives

Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Ambition, innovation potential and the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches)

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation – BA3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources

Relevance of the project partners and the project team

Adequacy of the management regarding the risks and overcoming existing knowledge

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

4. Social and economic impact – BA4

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations for meeting the needs of European and global markets

All other environmental and socially significant impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in other indicators)

Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of intellectual property rights), to communicate the project, and to manage research data

Expected effects of the project

Strengthening innovation and incorporation of new knowledge

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 4:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE: Threshold for final score: 12 points.

I confirm that I have read, understood and accepted "Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality" established in the Guidelines on proposal evaluation sent by the Slovenian Research Agency related to the performance of evaluation tasks and I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« form.

Date: