

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2026

A. REVIEWER

| | |
|-------------|--|
| Role | |
|-------------|--|

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

| | |
|--|--|
| Public call | |
| Application number | |
| Type of the research project | |
| Title of the research project | |
| Project leader | |
| Research organization | |
| Interdisciplinary research | |
| Scientific discipline / research field | |
| Additional scientific discipline / research field | |

C. INTRODUCTION

Award criteria

- 1: Scientific excellence of the researchers - BT1
- 2: Scientific excellence - BT2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation - BT3

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - use steps of 0,1: (0,0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal cannot be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

The individual threshold is 3.

The overall threshold is 10.

Interpretation of the scores:

- 0,0** The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
- 1,0 - 1,9 (Inadequate)** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.
- 2,0 - 2,9 (Deficient)** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses.
- 3,0 - 3,9 (Good)** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but several shortcomings are present.
- 4,0 - 4,6 (Very good)** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present.
- 4,7 - 5,0 (Excellent)** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of the researchers - BT1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Outstanding achievements

Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking

Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct the research

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific excellence - BT2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Adequacy of addressing important research challenges

Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches for developing the scientific fields)

Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives

Originality of the idea

Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation - BT3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources

Feasibility of a scientific approach

Data source:

Application form: ARIS-RPROJ-Prijava-2026

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE:

Threshold for final score: 10 points.

I confirm that I have read, understood and accepted »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« established in the Guidelines on proposal evaluation sent by the Slovenian Research Agency related to the performance of evaluation tasks and I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the "Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality" form.

Date: