

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2025

A. REVIEWER

Role	
-------------	--

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdisciplinary research	
ERC scientific discipline / ERC research field	
Additional ERC scientific discipline / ERC research field	

C. INTRODUCTION

Award criteria

1: Scientific excellence of the researchers - BT1

2: Scientific excellence - BT2

3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation - BT3

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - use steps of 0,5: (0,0; 1,0; 1,5; 2,0; 2,5; 3,0; 3,5; 4,0; 4,5; 5,0)

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal can not be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

The individual threshold is 3.

The overall threshold is 10.

Interpretation of the scores:

0,0	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information.
1,0 or 1,5 (Poor)	The proposal does not address the criterion adequately or has serious weaknesses.
2,0 or 2,5 (Fair)	The proposal addresses the criterion in general, but has important weaknesses.
3,0 or 3,5 (Good)	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but has a number of shortcomings.
4,0 (Very good)	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but there are some shortcomings.
4,5 (Excellent)	The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.
5,0 (Outstanding)	The application fully meets the criterion, there are no shortcomings.

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of the researchers - BT1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Outstanding achievements

Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking

Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct the research

Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific excellence - BT2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Adequacy of addressing important research challenges

Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches for developing the scientific fields)

Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives

Originality of the idea

Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation - BT3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources

Feasibility of a scientific approach

Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE:

Threshold for final score: 10 points.

Date: