Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2025

A. REVIEWER

Role

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

| Public call | |
|---|--|
| Application number | |
| Type of the research project | |
| Title of the research project | |
| Project leader | |
| Research organization | |
| Interdiciplinary research | |
| ERC scientific discipline / ERC research field | |
| Additional ERC scientific discipline / ERC research field | |

C. INTRODUCTION

Award criteria

- 1: Scientific excellence of the researchers BA1
- 2: Scientific excellence BA2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of the implementation BA3
- 4: Social and economic impact BA4

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - use steps of 0,5: (0,0; 1,0; 1,5; 2,0; 2,5; 3,0; 3,5; 4,0; 4,5; 5,0)

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal can not be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score: The individual threshold is 3. The overall threshold is 12.

Interpretation of the scores:

| 0,0 | The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information. |
|-------------------|---|
| 1,0 or 1,5 (Poor) | The proposal does not address the criterion adequately or has serious weaknesses. |
| 2,0 or 2,5 (Fair) | The proposal addresses the criterion in general, but has important weaknesses. |
| 3,0 or 3,5 (Good) | The proposal addresses the criterion well, but has a number of shortcomings. |
| 4,0 (Very good) | The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but there are some shortcomings. |
| 4,5 (Excellent) | The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor. |
| 5,0 (Outstanding) | The application fully meets the criterion, there are no shortcomings. |

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of the researchers - BA1 The following aspects will be taken into account: Outstanding achievements Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct the research Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific excellence - BA2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives

Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Ambition, innovation potential and the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches)

Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.



Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of the implementation – BA3

The following aspects will be taken into account: Adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources Relevance of the project partners and the project team Adequacy of the management regarding the risks and overcoming existing knowledge Data source: Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

4. Social and economic impact – BA4

The following aspects will be taken into account:

Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations for meeting the needs of European and global markets

All other environmental and socially significant impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in other indicators)

Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of intellectual property rights), to communicate the project, and to manage research data

Expected effects of the project

Strengthening innovation and incorporation of new knowledge

Data source:

Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2025

Score 4:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

Written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (800 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE:

Threshold for final score: 12 points.

Date: