

## Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

## Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2019 Phase II

**A. REVIEWER**

<b>Role</b>	
-------------	--

**B. GENERAL INFORMATION**

<b>Public call</b>	
<b>Application number</b>	
<b>Type of the research project</b>	
<b>Title of the research project</b>	
<b>Project leader</b>	
<b>Research organization</b>	
<b>Interdisciplinary research</b>	
<b>Scientific discipline / research field</b>	
<b>Additional scientific discipline / research field</b>	

**C. INTRODUCTION****Award criteria**

- 1: Scientific excellence of researchers - BT1
- 2: Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

**Scores and Thresholds**

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0,0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal can not be proposed for funding.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

- The individual threshold is 3.
- The overall threshold is 10.

**Interpretation of the scores:**

<b>0,0</b>	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information,
<b>1,0 - 1,9 (Poor)</b>	The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
<b>2,0 - 2,9 (Fair)</b>	The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,
<b>3,0 - 3,9 (Good)</b>	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,
<b>4,0 - 4,6 (Very good)</b>	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present,
<b>4,7 - 5,0 (Excellent)</b>	The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the

criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

## D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

### 1. Scientific excellence of researchers - BT1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Outstanding achievements
- Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking
- Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-II

**Score 1:**

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

**A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).**

### 2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Adequacy of addressing important research challenges
- Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches to developing of scientific fields)
- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives
- Originality of the idea
- Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-II

**Score 2:**

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

**A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).**

### 3. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources
- The feasibility of a scientific approach

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-II

**Score 3:**

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

**A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).**

**TOTAL SCORE:**  Threshold for final score: 10 points.

**I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« form signed.**

Date: