

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2019 Phase I

A. REVIEWER

Role	
-------------	--

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdisciplinary research	
Scientific discipline / research field	
Additional scientific discipline / research field	

C. INTRODUCTION**Award criteria**

- 1: Scientific excellence of researcher - BT1
- 2: Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

Final scores must pass thresholds if a proposal is to be considered for funding/eligible to enter the II. phase.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

- The individual threshold is 3.
- The overall threshold is 10.

Interpretation of the scores:

0,0	The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information,
1,0 - 1,9 (Poor)	The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
2,0 - 2,9 (Fair)	The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,
3,0 - 3,9 (Good)	The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,
4,0 - 4,6 (Very good)	The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present,

4,7 - 5,0 (Excellent) The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of researcher - BT1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Outstanding achievements
- Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking
- Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-I

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Adequacy of addressing important research challenges
- Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches to developing of scientific fields)
- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives
- Originality of the idea
- Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-I

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources
- The feasibility of a scientific approach

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2018-I

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE: Threshold for final score: 10 points.

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« form signed.

Date: