

Evaluation form - REVIEWER'S REPORT

Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2018 Phase II

A. REVIEWER

ID	
Name and Surname	

B. GENERAL INFORMATION

Public call	
Application number	
Type of the research project	
Title of the research project	
Project leader	
Research organization	
Interdisciplinary research	
Scientific discipline / research field	
Additional scientific discipline / research field	

C. INTRODUCTION**Award criteria**

- 1: Scientific excellence of researchers - BT1
- 2: Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2
- 3: Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0; 1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0).

Final scores must pass thresholds if a proposal is to be considered for funding/eligible to enter the II. phase.

Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

- The individual threshold is 3.
- The overall threshold is 10.

Interpretation of the scores:

- 0,0** The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information,
- 1,0 - 1,9 (Poor)** The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
- 2,0 - 2,9 (Fair)** The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,
- 3,0 - 3,9 (Good)** The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,

- 4,0 - 4,9 (Very good)** The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present,
- 5,0 (Excellent)** The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

D. REVIEWER'S REPORT

1. Scientific excellence of researchers - BT1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Outstanding achievements
- Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking
- Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II

Score 1:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BT2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Adequacy of addressing important research challenges
- Ambition and exceptionality of goals (for example, new methods and approaches to developing of scientific fields)
- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives
- Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II

Score 2:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

3. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BT3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources
- The feasibility of a scientific approach

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JP-PRIJAVA/2017-II

Score 3:

Threshold for final score: 3,0 points.

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given (200 characters mandatory).

TOTAL SCORE: Threshold for final score: 10 points.

I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« form signed.

Date: