

**PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH
PROJECTS IN 2017**

**REVIEWER'S REPORT
Phase I**

for the project proposal from the Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2017.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number:

Title of the research project:

Project leader:

Research organization:

Type of the project:

**Scientific discipline /
research field:**

Reviewer:

Date:

(Signature)

**B. Evaluation elements of the scientific quality of the candidate for a project leader
Grade B**

1.	Exceptional research achievements of the project leader	B1	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- world top level / vital for Slovenia, innovation 4 - 5
- near the world top level / high national relevance 3 - 4
- internationally and nationally relevant 2 - 3
- average / less relevant 1 - 2
- not achieving basic standards 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2016-I (Item 15, 16)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1 ¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory.

2.	Exceptional socioeconomic and culturally relevant achievements of the project leader	B2	
-----------	---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- top-level project 4 - 5
- good quality project 2 - 4
- less than good quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2016-I (Item 15, 17)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2 ²:

3.	Research quality of the project	B3	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- top-level project 4 - 5
- good quality project 2 - 4
- less than good quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2016-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3 ³:

² Written comment is obligatory.

³ Written comment is obligatory.

4.	Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed project	B4	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- top-level project 4 - 5
- good quality project 2 - 4
- less than good quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2016-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B4 ⁴:

5.	Feasibility of the proposed project	B5	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- top-level project 4 - 5
- good quality project 2 - 4
- less than good quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-PRIJAVA/2016-I (Item 20)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B5 ⁵:

⁴ Written comment is obligatory.

⁵ Written comment is obligatory.