

Slovenian Research Agency

**PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH
PROJECTS IN 2014 - PUBLIC CALL IN 2013**

**REVIEWER'S REPORT
Phase II**

for the project proposal from the Public call for co-financing of research projects in 2014 - public call in 2013.

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number: _____

Title of the research project: _____

Project leader: _____

Research organization: _____

Type of the project: _____

**Duration of the project in
years:** _____

**Scientific discipline /
research field:** _____

Reviewer:

Date: _____

(Signature)

B. ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS

Grade B

1.	Research excellence of the project leader and the research team	B1	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- Global top/vital importance to Slovenia, discovery 4 - 5
- In contact with the global top/high national importance 3 - 4
- International and national significance 2 - 3
- Average/less significant 1 - 2
- Not meeting the basic standards 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2013-II, (Item 8)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory – approx. 50 words.

2.	Socioeconomic or cultural relevance of research results of the project leader and the research team	B2	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (the grades must be rounded to the nearest whole number)

Meaning of points:

- Exceptionally relevant achievements 5
- Highly relevant achievements 4
- Relevant achievements 3
- Less relevant achievements 2
- Irrelevant achievements 1
- Do not meet majority of the specified criteria 0

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2013-II, (Item 9.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2²:

3.	Research quality of the proposed project	B3	
-----------	---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- State-of-the-art project 4 - 5
- Quality project 3 - 4
- Average project 2 - 3
- Lower quality project 1 1 - 2
- Lower quality project 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2013-II, (Item 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3³:

² Written comment is obligatory – approx. 50 words.

³ Written comment is obligatory – approx. 50 words.

4. Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed project	B4	
---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- State-of-the-art project 4 - 5
- Quality project 3 - 4
- Average project 2 - 3
- Lower quality project 1 1 - 2
- Lower quality project 0 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2013-II, (Item 17.2, 17.3)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B4 ⁴:

5. Feasibility of the proposed project	B5	
---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- State-of-the-art project 4 - 5
- Quality project 2 - 4
- Lower quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2013-II, (Item 2, 3, 4, 5, 9.2, 13, 14, 15, 16)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B5⁵:

⁴ Written comment is obligatory – approx. 50 words.

⁵ Written comment is obligatory – approx. 50 words.