

Slovenian Research Agency

**PUBLIC CALL FOR CO-FINANCING OF RESEARCH
PROJECTS IN 2012 - PUBLIC CALL IN 2011**

**REVIEWER'S REPORT
Phase II**

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Proposal number: _____

Title of the research project: _____

Project leader: _____

Research organization: _____

Type of the project: _____

Duration of the project in years: _____

**Scientific discipline / research
field:** _____

Reviewer:

Date: _____

(Signature)

B. ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS

Grade B

1.	Exceptional research achievement of the project leader and members of the project team	B1	
----	---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- world top level / vital for Slovenia, innovation 4 - 5
- near the world top level / high national relevance 3 - 4
- internationally and nationally relevant 2 - 3
- average / less relevant 1 - 2
- not achieving basic standards 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2011-2, (Item 8)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1 ¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

2.	Assessment of exceptional socioeconomic and culturally relevant achievements of the project leader and members of the project team	B2	
-----------	---	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (the grades must be rounded to the nearest whole number)

Meaning of points:

- exceptionally relevant achievements 5
- highly relevant achievements 4
- relevant achievements 3
- less relevant achievements 2
- irrelevant achievements 1
- do not meet majority of the defined criteria 0

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2011-2, (Item 9.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2 ²:

3.	Research quality of the project	B3	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- state-of-the-art and beyond - project 4 - 5
- state-of-the-art project 3 - 4
- average project 2 - 3
- lower quality project 1 - 2
- project of insufficient quality 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2011-2, (Item 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17.1)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3 ³:

² Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

³ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

4.	Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed project	B4	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)

Meaning of points:

- state-of-the-art and beyond - project 4 - 5
- state-of-the-art project 3 - 4
- average project 2 - 3
- lower quality project 1 - 2
- project of insufficient quality 0 - 1

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2011-2, (Item 17.2, 17.3)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B4 ⁴:

5.	Feasibility of the proposed project	B5	
-----------	--	-----------	--

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (all the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0)


Meaning of points:

- top-level project 4 - 5
- good quality project 2 - 4
- less than good quality project 0 - 2

Source of the data: Application form ARRS ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava/2011-2, (Item 2, 3, 4, 5, 9.2, 13, 14, 15, 16)

⁴ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B5 ⁵:



⁵ Written comment is obligatory – at least 50 words.