

Slovenian Research Agency

**PUBLIC CALL IN 2015 FOR SUBMITTING RESEARCH PROGRAMMES
FOR THE NEXT PERIOD OF FINANCING**

REVIEWER'S REPORT

A. GENERAL INFORMATION

Application number:

Type of the application:

Code of the programme:

Title of the programme:

Programme leader:

Research organizations:

.....

.....

.....

.....

Research hours per year:

**Scientific discipline /
research field:**

Period(s) of funding:

Reviewer:

Date:

(Signature)

ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS

1.	Research excellence of the programme leader and the programme group	
-----------	--	--

Indicators and yardsticks:

- Above-average scientific excellence (A', A" and A^{1/2})
- Exceptional achievements in publications
- Exceptional achievements in citations
- Status excellence
- Participation in international projects or parts of international projects (not bilateral ones co-financed by the Agency)

Source of the data:

- Application form ARRS-RPROG-JP-PRIJAVA/2014, **Item 13**
- The report on the results of the research programme for the past period ARRS-RPROG-VP/2014, **Item 4, 9.1, 9.2.**
- Detailed composition of the programme group with quantitative indicators

Meaning of points:

The group stands out in most or all of the listed categories; is above average	4,5 - 5
The group achieves a sound level in the listed categories; is roughly on average	3 - 4
The group does not achieve a sound level in a number of listed categories or is completely below average	0 – 2,5

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (0; 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5; 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5; 5)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade 1¹:

¹ Written comment is obligatory and must be consistent with given numerical grade – at least 50 words.

2. Socioeconomic or cultural relevance of research results of the programme leader and the programme group	
---	--

Indicators and yardsticks:

- *Proven mentorship in graduation, master's and doctoral theses*
- *Indirect importance to the society (promotion of the country, inclusion in the international labour division, education of human resources, etc.)*
- *Implementation of research programme objectives*
- *Flow of young researchers*
- *Hosting researchers*
- *Inclusion of corporate researchers/experts*
- *Proven relations with businesses or publicly-provided services;*
- *(Co)author of patents, standards, licences, new products, technologies and technological solutions and innovations*
- *(Co)founder of a spin off company*

Source of the data:

- *Application form ARRS-RPROG-JP-PRIJAVA/2014, **Item 14***
- *The report on the results of the research programme for the past period ARRS-RPROG-VP/2014, **Item 2, 3, 5, 6.1, 6.2, 7, 8, 10.1, 10.2, 11,12***

Meaning of points:

The group stands out in most or all of the listed categories; is above average; the same applies to the results of the outgoing research programme **4,5 - 5**

The group achieves a sound level in the listed categories; is roughly on average; the same applies to the results of the outgoing research programme **3 - 4**

The group does not achieve a sound level in a number of listed categories or is completely below average; the same applies to the results of the outgoing research programme **0 – 2,5**

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (0; 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5; 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5; 5)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade 2²:

² Written comment is obligatory and must be consistent with given numerical grade – at least 50 words.

3. R&D quality of the proposed programme

Indicators and yardsticks:

- *Scientific significance of the topic*
- *Current nature of the initial hypothesis and methodological adequacy or design of research*
- *A clear idea and quality of objectives*
- *Original (new) expected results*

Source of the data:

- *Application form ARRS-RPROG-JP-PRIJAVA/2014, Item 18, 19*

Meaning of points:

State-of-the-art programme	4,5 - 5
Quality programme	3 - 4
Lower quality programme	0 – 2,5

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (0; 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5; 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5; 5)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade 3³:

³ Written comment is obligatory and must be consistent with given numerical grade – at least 50 words.

4. Relevance and potential impact of the results of the proposed programme	
---	--

Indicators and yardsticks:

- *Direct significance for businesses and publicly-provided services (a company, industry, several industries, social infrastructure, civil service, incorporation of new enterprises, cultural development and preservation of national identity, protection of natural and cultural heritage, etc.)*
- *Significance for development of research (sub)segments in short supply*
- *Potential impacts and effects of results*

Source of the data:

- *Application form ARRS-RPROG-JP-PRIJAVA/2014, Item 18*

Meaning of points:

State-of-the-art programme	4,5 - 5
Quality programme	3 - 4
Lower quality programme	0 – 2,5

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (0; 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5; 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5; 5)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade 4⁴:

⁴ Written comment is obligatory and must be consistent with given numerical grade – at least 50 words.

5. Feasibility of the proposed programme

Indicators and yardsticks:

- *Qualifications of the manager (include mentorships and management of research and higher education institutions, research programs and projects, or management of development teams at the corporate level, the national level, the EU or the international level)*
- *Adequacy of the work plan*
- *Adequacy of the programme's feasibility, scope and duration*
- *Adequacy of composition of the team (interdisciplinary and interinstitutional nature)*
- *Availability of premises and equipment*
- *Inclusion in programmes and projects*

Source of the data:

- *Application form ARRS-RPROG-JP-PRIJAVA/2014, Item 12, 18, 22, 23*

Meaning of points:

State-of-the-art programme **4,5 - 5**

Quality programme **3 - 4**

Lower quality programme **0 – 2,5**

Possible number of points 0 - 5 (0; 0,5; 1; 1,5; 2; 2,5; 3; 3,5; 4; 4,5; 5)

A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade 5⁵:

⁵ Written comment is obligatory and must be consistent with given numerical grade – at least 50 words.