SLOVENIAN RESEARCH AGENCY

EVALUATION SHEET
of a candidate* for a mentor to a young researcher

Surname and name of mentor candidate
(code number and year of birth)

Research field
(code number and name)

Research organisation
(code number and name)

I. CRITERIA

A. Mentor candidate's scientific quality

Scientific achievements explained** and evaluated by the appraiser:

<u>Please mark as appropriate (only 1x)</u>

☐ world class achievements	5 points
☐ near world class achievements	4 / 4.5 points
☐ internationally significant achievements	3 / 3.5 points
☐ average scientific achievements	2 / 2.5 points
☐ insignificant scientific achievements	1 / 1.5 point
☐ not achieving the basic quality standards	0 / 0.5 point

<u>Explanation:</u>

The number of points is renormalized (balanced) for each science individually in compliance with the prescribed evaluation methodology.

---

*
Footnote not applicable in the English translation

** *Not exceeding 1/2 page (total A, B), font 12, single line spacing*

B. Young researcher's training programme and postgraduate studies

Training programme explained** and evaluated by the appraiser:

<u>Please mark as appropriate (only 1x)</u>

☐ New work methodology will be used, research programme compatible   5 points
   with the postgraduate study programme, objectives are set realistically   4 points
                                                   3 points

☐ Research programme and postgraduate studies are compatible,   2 points
   anticipated results are not evident, objectives are not set realistically   1 point

☐ Work programme and postgraduate studies are not compatible,   0,5 point
   anticipated results are not evident, objectives difficult to reach   0 point

<u>Explanation:</u>

The number of points is renormalized (balanced) for each science individually in compliance with the prescribed evaluation methodology.

TOTAL EVALUATION RESULT   ☐

(Mentor candidate's total evaluation result is composed of points A plus B)

In/at _____ on _____.

Appraiser's signature

_____