**Javni razpis za (so)financiranje gostovanj pri vodjah erc projektov v letu 2017**

**Public Call FOR CO-FINANCING POTENTIAL ERC CANDIDATES TO VISIT ERC GRANTEES IN 2017**

**iNDIVIDUALNO POROČILO**

**REVIEWER'S REPORT**

1. **OSNOVNE INFORMACIJE / GENERAL INFORMATION**

|  |
| --- |
| Številka prijave / Proposal number: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Naslov osnutka projekta / Title of the outlined project: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Gostujoči raziskovalec / Visiting researcher: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Raziskovalna organizacija / Research organization: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Znanstvena veda / Scientific discipline: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Raziskovalno področje / Research field: |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Skupna ocena / Total score: …….. (Mejni prag / Threshold: 10 / 15) |
|  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Datum / Date: |  |  | Recenzent / Reviewer |
|  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | Podpis / Signature |

**NAVODILA ZA OCENJEVALCE**

**THE GUIDE FOR REVIEWERS**

Vsak kriterij je ovrednoten z oceno na lestvici od 0 do 5. Za uvrstitev v izbor za sofinanciranje mora posamezna prijava doseči mejni prag treh točk za posamezen kriterij in hkrati skupno 10 točk. Vsaka ocena mora biti zapisana na eno decimalno mesto natančno npr.: 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; do 5,0.

Pomen posameznih ocen:

* 0 (nezadostno): prijava kriteriju v celoti ne ustreza ali ocene ni mogoče podati zaradi pomanjkljivih informacij,
* 1 (nezadostno): prijava kriteriju ne ustreza ali ima resne slabosti,
* 2 (pomanjkljivo): prijava kriteriju ustreza, vendar ima pomembne slabosti,
* 3 (dobro): prijava kriteriju ustreza, vendar ima precej pomanjkljivosti,
* 4 (zelo dobro): prijava kriteriju zelo ustreza, vendar ima manjše pomanjkljivosti,
* 5 (odlično): prijava kriteriju povsem ustreza, morebitne pomanjkljivosti so neznatne.

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale of zero to five. In order to be considered for co-financing, an application should reach the threshold of three points per criterion and common threshold criterion of 10 points. All the grades must be written down using a figure, accurate to the first decimal place, for instance: 0,0; 0,1; 0,2; to 5,0.

Implementation of the score:

* 0 (Noncompetitive): The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information,
* 1 (Poor): The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses,
* 2 (Fair): The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses,
* 3 (Good): The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present,
* 4 (Very good): The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present,
* 5 (Excellent): The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor.

1. **KRITERIJI OCENJEVANJA / ASSESSMENT ELEMENTS**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **1** | **Kakovost dispozicije raziskovalnega projekta / Research project quality criterion** | **B1** |

Kazalniki / Indicators:

* Ustreznost naslavljanja pomembnih raziskovalnih izzivov (kazalnik 4.1.);

To what extent does the proposed research address important challenges?

* Ambicioznost ciljev (na primer nove metode in pristopi k razvoju področij) in potencial za preseganje obstoječega stanja v znanosti (kazalnik 4.2.);

To what extent are the objectives ambitious and beyond the state of the art (e.g. novel concepts and approaches or development across disciplines)?

* Jasnost koncepta, vključno z interdisciplinarnim vidikom, in ustreznost ciljev (kazalnik 4.3.);

To what extent is the concept sound and the proposed timescales and resources necessary and properly justified (based on the outline of a scientific research project)?

* Primernost predlagane metodologije raziskave za doseganje ciljev (kazalnik 4.5.);

To what extent is the proposed research methodology appropriate to achieve

the goals of the project (based on the outline of a scientific research project)?

**Ocena / Score: …….. (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)**

*Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B1*

*A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B1*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **2** | **Znanstvena odličnost raziskovalca / Researcher scientific quality** | **B2** |

Kazalniki / Indicators:

* Izjemni dosežki (kazalnik 1.3.), ki se nanašajo na raziskovalno področje oziroma cilje, kot so opredeljeni v osnutku dispozicije znanstvenoraziskovalnega projekta;

To what extent have the achievements of the researcher typically gone beyond the state-of-the-art?

* Izkazana sposobnost samostojnega in ustvarjalnega razmišljanja (kazalnik 1.7);

To what extent does the researcher provide evidence of creative independent thinking?

* Sposobnost priprave predloga raziskave in vodenja raziskav (kazalnik 1.11.);

To what extent has the researcher demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct ground-breaking research?

**Ocena / Score: …….. (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)**

*Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B2*

*A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B2*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3** | **Kakovost partnerstva / Partnership quality** | **B3** |

Kazalniki / Indicators:

* Skladnost dejavnosti vodje ERC projekta in gostujočega raziskovalca;

To what extent does the proposed research project correspond with the ongoing research project of the ERC Principal Investigator?

* Kakovost načrta vključitve gostujočega raziskovalca v dejavnosti vodje ERC projekta;

To what extent is the proposed plan for an active inclusion of Visiting researcher into on-going project of ERC Principal Investigator well-defined and feasible;

* Primernost raziskovalnih zmogljivosti raziskovalne organizacije vodje ERC projekta;

To what extent is the relevant research infrastructure available to the Visiting researcher?

**Ocena / Score: …….. (Mejni prag / Threshold: 3 / 5)**

*Pisna obrazložitev za kriterij B3*

*A written comment upon individual assessment elements under grade B3*

|  |
| --- |
|  |