

Evaluation form Public call - ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

| | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|
| Public call: | ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021 |
| Application: | |
| Application title: | |
| Project/programme leader: | |
| Status of assessment: | |
| Date of assessment: | |

Form code: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021/Vzorec

Evaluation form Public call - ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

A. Reviewer / Rapporteur ^

| |
|------|
| Role |
|------|

B. General information ^

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| Public call | ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021 |
| Application number | |
| Type of the research project | |
| Title of research project | |
| Project leader | |
| Research organization | |
| Interdisciplinary project | |
| Scientific discipline/research field | |
| Additional scientific discipline/research field | |

C. Introduction ^

Award criteria

1. Scientific excellence of researchers - BA1
2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BA2
3. Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results of research - BA3
4. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BA4

Scores and Thresholds

Each criterion is evaluated on a scale from zero to five - first decimal place can be used: (0,0;1,0; 1,1; 1,2; ...; 4,8; 4,9; 5,0). If the final scores do not pass thresholds the project proposal can not be proposed for funding. Thresholds apply to individual criteria and to the total score:

The individual threshold is 3.

The overall threshold is 12

Interpretation of the scores

| | |
|-----------------------|---|
| 0.0 | The proposal fails to address the criterion or cannot be assessed due to missing or incomplete information. |
| 1.0 - 1.9 (Poor) | The criterion is inadequately addressed, or there are serious inherent weaknesses. |
| 2.0 - 2.9 (Fair) | The proposal broadly addresses the criterion, but there are significant weaknesses. |
| 3.0 - 3.9 (Good) | The proposal addresses the criterion well, but a number of shortcomings are present. |
| 4.0 - 4.6 (Very good) | The proposal addresses the criterion very well, but a small number of shortcomings are present. |
| 4.7 - 5.0 (Excellent) | The proposal successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion. Any shortcomings are minor. |

D. Reviewer's report



1. Scientific excellence of researchers - BA1

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Outstanding achievements
- Demonstrated capability of independent and creative thinking
- Ability to prepare a research proposal and conduct research

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

Final score 1



Threshold: 3 points

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion. The comment is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given. Please change the wording and prepare a consolidated comment.

Comment

2. Scientific, technological or innovation excellence - BA2

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Soundness of the concept, including trans-disciplinary considerations and relevance of the objectives
- Adequacy of the proposed research methodology to achieve the objectives
- Ambitious, innovation potential and the state of the art (e.g. ground-breaking objectives, novel concepts and approaches)

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

Final score 2



Threshold: 3 points

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion. The comment is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given. Please change the wording and prepare a consolidated comment.

Comment

3. Potential impact due to development, dissemination and use of expected results of research - BA3

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- Strengthening the competitiveness and growth of companies by developing innovations meeting the needs of European and global markets
- All other environmental and socially significant impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in other indicators)
- Effectiveness of the proposed measures to exploit and disseminate the project results (including management of intellectual property rights), to communicate the project, and to manage research data
- Expected effects of the project
- Strengthening innovation and incorporation of new knowledge

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

Final score 3



Threshold: 3 points

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion. The comment is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given. Please change the wording and prepare a consolidated comment.

Comment

4. Quality and efficiency of implementation and management - BA4

The following aspects will be taken into account:

- The adequacy and effectiveness of the work plan, including the adequacy of the allocation of tasks and allocation of resources
- Relevance of the project partners and the project team
- The adequacy of the management regarding the risks and overcoming existing knowledge

Data source:

- Application form: ARRS-RPROJ-JR-Prijava-2021

Final score 4



Threshold: 3 points

A written comment on individual assessment elements under criterion. The comment is obligatory and must be consistent with the score given. Please change the wording and prepare a consolidated comment.

Comment

Total score

Threshold: 12 points



I confirm that I have read, understood and accepted »Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality« established in Guidelines on proposal evaluation sent by Slovenian research Agency related to the performance of evaluation tasks and I declare that, to the best of my knowledge, I have no direct or indirect conflict of interest in the evaluation of this proposal in accordance with the "Statement on a conflict of interest and confidentiality" form.

Date

