Financira Evropska unija NextGenerationEU

REPUBLIKA SLOVENIJA MINISTRSTVO ZA VISOKO ŠOLSTVO, ZNANOST IN INOVACIJE

arrs JAVNA AGENCIJA ZA RAZISKOVALNO DEJAVNOST REPUBLIKE SLOVENIJE

Public call for the co-financing of long-term major collaborative programmes for research and innovation on the TRL 3-6 scale

INFORMATION 3

EVALUATION FORM FOR ASSESSING THE ELIGIBILITY AND EVALUATION OF APPLICATIONS

1. General information

Evaluation Panel:	Set 1 “green transition” or Set 2 “digital transition”
Application code:	
Full title of the RDI programme:	
Short title of the RDI programme:	
Consortium applicant in the name of the consortium of partners (name and seat of the applicant):	
Area of application according to the RRP area (Set 1 “green transition” or Set 2 “digital transition”):	
Area of application according to the S5 priority area:	

2. Presentation of evaluation elements

2.1. Elements for assessing compliance with the purpose and objective

Compliance of the applied RDI programmes is established on the basis of yes/no criteria for the following elements:

- The relevance of the theme and objectives for the chosen target RRP area (Set 1 “green transition” or “digital transition” set) as well as compliance with the RRP objectives;
- Positioning in the Smart Specialisation Strategy S5 priority area;
- Compliance with the DNSH principle;
- Realistic feasibility in the period, adequacy and capacity of the beneficiaries, readiness for implementation, including closed financial construction.

Fulfilment of compliance with the purpose and objective is determined with yes and no.

2.2. Application evaluation criteria

The applied RDI programme will be evaluated based on the following criteria:

- Scientific excellence;
- Quality and efficiency of the implementation;
- Social and economic impact.

2.3. Grades and thresholds

Each criterion is graded with 0 to 5 points in increments of 1 (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5).

If a submitted RDI programme does not comply with the purpose and objective, it cannot be included in the selection for (co)financing.

If a submitted RDI programme does not reach the threshold of 3 points for each criterion or the total threshold for the sum of all three grades, i.e. 10 points, it cannot be included in the selection for (co)financing.

2.4. Evaluation of elements to verify the compliance and evaluation of applications

Verifying the fulfilment of compliance:

Yes	Complies with purposes and objectives
No	Does not comply with purposes and objectives

Evaluation of criteria with grades:

0 (insufficient)	The application does not meet the criterion or a grade cannot be given due to a lack of information
1 (insufficient)	The application does not comply with the criterion or has major faults
2 (deficient)	The application complies with the criterion, but has significant faults
3 (good)	The application complies with the criterion, but has a number of faults
4 (very good)	The application complies with the criterion to a large extent, but has minor faults
5 (excellent)	The application fully complies with the criterion; any faults are negligible

3. Evaluation report of the evaluation panel

3.1. Compliance with purposes and objectives

		YES/NO	Explanation

1.	The relevance of the theme and objectives for the chosen target RRP area (Set 1 "green transition" or Set 2 "digital transition") as well as compliance with the RRP objectives	YES / NO	
2.	Positioning in the Smart Specialisation Strategy S5 priority area	YES / NO	
3.	Compliance with the DNSH principle	YES / NO	
4.	Realistic feasibility in the period, adequacy and capacity of the beneficiaries, readiness for implementation, including closed financial construction	YES / NO	

3.2. "Scientific excellence" criterion

	Indicators	Source of information (according to sections of the "RDI programme presentation" document)
1.	Clarity of concept, including the interdisciplinary aspect, and the appropriateness of the objectives	6, 7A, 7B, 7C; 7D
2.	Suitability of proposed research methodology for achieving objectives	8
3.	Ambitiousness, innovation potential and exceptional nature of the RDI programme (e.g. exceeding current knowledge, new concepts and approaches)	9A, 9B,

Total grade for the "Scientific excellence" criterion:	**1 -5**
Explanation of the total grade according to the above indicators 1 to 3:	

3.3. "Social and economic impact" criterion

	Indicators	Source of information (according to sections of the "RDI programme presentation" document)
1.	Strengthening competitiveness and company growth by developing innovations that meet the needs of European and global markets	10A, 10B, 16

2.	All other environmentally and socially important impacts, including impacts on cultural development (not included in the other indicators)	10A, 10B, 16
3.	Efficiency of the proposed measures for applying and disseminating the RDI programme results (including the management of intellectual property rights), public presentations (popularisation) of the RDI programme and the management of research data;	11A, 11B
4.	The expected impacts of the RDI programme	10A, 10B, 11A, 11B, 16
5.	Strengthening of innovativeness and integration of new knowledge	10A, 11A, 11B, 16

Total grade for the "Social and economic impact" criterion:	**1 -5**
Explanation of the total grade according to the above indicators 1 to 5:	

3.4. "Quality and efficiency of the implementation" criterion

	Indicators	Source of information (according to sections of the "RDI programme presentation" document)
1.	Suitability and efficiency of the work plan, including the appropriate assignment of tasks and allocation of funds	12A, 12B, 12C, 12D, 13A, 13B, 13C, 13D, 15A, 15B
2.	Suitability of the partners and project group of the RDI programme	14A, 14B
3.	Suitability of management considering the risks or exceeding current knowledge	13A, 13B, 13C, 13D

Total grade for the "Quality and efficiency of the implementation" criterion:	**1 -5**
Explanation of the total grade according to the above indicators 1 to 3:	

3.5. Final assessment

	Fulfilment of all conditions* and achieving minimum 3 points** for each individual criterion and minimum 10 points total***	**Number of points**
*Fulfilment of conditions	YES - NO	**/**
**Scientific excellence	YES - NO	**1 -5**
**Quality and efficiency of the implementation	YES - NO	**1 -5**
**Social and economic impact	YES - NO	**1 -5**
***Total number of points achieved	YES - NO	**Number**
Notes:		